Page 2

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 through 39 are pending in the application. The Examiner objected to claims 6, 8-12, 15-16, 19-20, 27, 29-33 and 36-37, and rejected claims 1-5, 7, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21-26, 28, 34, 35, 38 and 39.

The Provisional Double Patenting Rejection

In item 3 on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner provisionally rejected claims 1-5, 7, 13-14, 17-18, 21-26, 28, 34-35, and 38-39 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-21, 23-24, and 26-27 of co-pending Application No. 09/650,849. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this rejection.

The Claim Objections

At item 5 on page 7 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 6, 8-12, 15-16, 19-20, 27, 29-33 and 36-37, while objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants submit that these claims are now in allowable condition since the claim rejections have been overcome with the filing of the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer.

Docket No. RSW920000064US1 Page 3

PATENT Application No. 09/649,946

Conclusion

The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejection of the claims and issue an early Notice of Allowance.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 09-0461.

Respectfully submitted

July 21, 2004

Mark D. Simpson, Esquire Registration No. 32,942

SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP 2600 ARAMARK Tower 1101 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

Telephone: (215) 923-4466 Facsimile: (215) 923-2189

M:\MSimpson\Clients\IBM Raleigh RSW\26919 USA\Patent Office\reply to action of 04212004.wpd